



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/734,102	12/11/2000	Rosario Gennaro	YOR920000597US1(13879)	3899

7590 01/11/2005

RICHARD L. CATANIA, ESQ.
SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY AND PRESSER
400 Garden City Plaza
Garden City, NY 11530

EXAMINER

MOORTHY, ARAVIND K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2131

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/734,102

Applicant(s)

GENNARO ET AL.

Examiner

Aravind K Moorthy

Art Unit

2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 July 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-9, 11-13, 15 and 16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6, 10 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-9, 11-13, 15 and 16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 March 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-13, 15 and 16 are pending in the application.
2. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-13, 15 and 16 have been rejected.
3. Claims 6, 10 and 14 have been cancelled.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-13, 15 and 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 1, 2, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Yacobi U.S. Patent No. 5,878,138 in view of Oishi U.S. Patent No. 6,298,153 B1.**

As to claim 1, Yacobi discloses a method of providing anonymous digital cash, the method comprising:

providing an entity with a secure co-processor [column 4, lines 48-65];

a user establishing a secure channel to a program running on the coprocessor [column 4, lines 48-65];

and the user sending a coin to be digitally signed to the coprocessor using any secure digital signature algorithm [column 9, lines 34-43].

Yacobi does not teach that signing the coin with a non-homomorphic signature to enable the user to use the coin while keeping the identity of the user unknown to the coprocessor. Yacobi does not teach that the non-homomorphic signature scheme includes a private and public key. Yacobi does not teach that the step of using the non-homomorphic signature scheme includes the step of using the private key of the non-homomorphic signature scheme to sign the unit.

Oishi teaches signing with a non-homomorphic signature to enable the user to keep the identity of the user unknown to the coprocessor [column 11, lines 48-54]. Oishi teaches that the non-homomorphic signature scheme includes a private and public key [column 18, lines 44-53]. Oishi teaches that the step of using the non-homomorphic signature scheme includes the step of using the private key of the non-homomorphic signature scheme to sign the unit [column 18, lines 44-53].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Yacobi so that the coin would have been signed with a non-homomorphic signature to enable the user to use the coin while keeping the identity of the user unknown to the coprocessor. The non-homomorphic signature scheme would have included a private and public key. The step of using the non-homomorphic signature scheme would have included the step of using the private key of the non-homomorphic signature scheme to sign the unit.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Yacobi by the teaching of Oishi because it provides

Art Unit: 2131

anonymity of the user and safety of privacy protection can be further improved [column 18, lines 44-53].

As to claims 2, Yacobi teaches a method comprising the steps of:

the processor providing a signature to authenticate [column 9, lines 34-43];

the user using the coin for payment to a merchant [column 5, lines 44-56];

and the merchant returning the signed coin to the entity for credit to an account of the merchant [column 12 line 65 to column 13 line 13].

As to claim 15, Yacobi teaches a method, wherein:

the encryption scheme has a public key and a private key;

the communicating step includes the step of the customer sending to the generator the public key of the encryption scheme; and

the step of using the secure cryptography generator includes the step of using the public key to encrypt the signature on the unit.

6. Claims 3-5, 7-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Cook et al U.S. Patent No. 6,675,153 B1.

As to claims 3, 7 and 11, Cook et al discloses a method of creating and managing electronic cash, comprising the steps:

a customer communicating to a secure cryptography generator an encryption scheme and a cash amount [column 7, lines 44-65];

establishing a unit representing the cash amount [column 15, lines 24-40];

signing the unit [column 15, lines 54-60];

Art Unit: 2131

using the secure cryptography generator to encrypt the signed unit using the encryption scheme [column 15, lines 54-60];

storing in a database the encrypted signed unit and a value for the unit [column 16, lines 10-23];

transmitting the encrypted signed unit to the customer [column 16, lines 41-52];

the customer decrypting the encrypted signed unit to obtain the signed unit; and

using the signed unit as a payment [column 16 line 53 to column 17 line 6].

Cook et al does not teach signing the unit with a non-homomorphic signature to enable the customer to use the electronic cash while keeping the identity of the customer unknown to the coprocessor.

Oishi teaches signing with a non-homomorphic signature to enable the user to keep the identity of the user unknown to the coprocessor [column 11, lines 48-54]. Oishi teaches that the non-homomorphic signature scheme includes a private and public key [column 18, lines 44-53]. Oishi teaches that the step of using the non-homomorphic signature scheme includes the step of using the private key of the non-homomorphic signature scheme to sign the unit [column 18, lines 44-53].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Cook et al so that the unit would have been signed with a non-homomorphic signature to enable the user to use the coin while keeping the identity of the customer unknown to the coprocessor. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Cook et al by

Art Unit: 2131

the teaching of Oishi because it provides anonymity of the user and safety of privacy protection can be further improved [column 18, lines 44-53].

As to claims 4, 8 and 12, Cook et al teaches establishing an expiration date for the unit. Cook et al discloses storing the expiration date in the database [column 6, lines 29-53].

As to claims 5, 9 and 13, Cook et al teaches that the signing step includes the step of using the secure cryptography generator to sign the unit [column 6 line 65 to column 7 line 13].

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aravind K Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

Art Unit: 2131

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aravind K Moorthy
January 6, 2005


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
PRIMARY EXAMINER